

Endometrial Stromal Sarcoma as a Second Primary in a Patient with Colorectal Carcinoma: Review of the Literature

Okan Kuzhan¹, Hıseyn Baloğlu², Fatih Ağalar³, Fatih Güçer⁴, Kezban Berberoğlu⁵

¹Acıbadem University Atakent Hospital, Medical Oncology, İstanbul, Türkiye

²Anadolu Medical Center Hospital, Pathology Istanbul, Türkiye

³Anadolu Medical Center Hospital, General Surgery, İstanbul, Türkiye

⁴Anadolu Medical Center Hospital, Gynecologic Surgery, İstanbul, Türkiye

⁵Anadolu Medical Center Hospital, Nuclear Medicine, İstanbul, Türkiye

ABSTRACT

We are presenting here the first case of second primary endometrial stromal sarcoma in a patient with colorectal carcinoma and reviewed the literature regarding metastasis patterns in colorectal carcinomas and the management of endometrial stromal sarcomas. The differential diagnosis of colon cancer metastasis and second primary was of great importance in our case because the management and prognosis would differ fundamentally. The presumption that metastatic cancers are incurable, precludes the work-up for detection of secondary cancers in most cases. This may hinder the proper treatment of patients. That is why the detection of secondary cancers should be strongly encouraged especially in cases with an unexpected metastasis pattern.

Key words: colorectal cancer, endometrial stromal sarcoma, second primary

KOLOREKTAL KANSER SEYRİ SIRASINDA İKİNCİ PRİMER OLARAK GELİŞEN ENDOMETRİAL STROMAL SARKOMA OLGUSU: LİTERATÜRÜN GÖZDEN GEÇİRİLMESİ

ÖZET

Literatürde kolorektal kanser seyri sırasında ilk defa saptanan endometrial stromal sarkom olgusunu sunuyoruz. Olgumuzda kolon kanseri metastazı ve ikinci primer arasında ayırım yapabilmek çok önemliydi, çünkü прогноз bilgileri ve tedavi yöntemleri tamamen değişimektenetdi. Metastatik kanserin şifa olmayacağı önyargısı, çoğu zaman ikinci pirimer çalışmalarının yapılması ve hastaların doğru tedavilere ulaşmasını engellemektedir. Bu nedenle özellikle alışılmadık metastaz tablolarında ikinci primer araştırmaları mutlaka yapılmalı ve karar verilene kadar israrla tekrarlanmalıdır.

Anahtar sözcükler: kolorektal kanser, endometriyal stromal sarkom, ikinci primer

A 52 years old woman was diagnosed with pT3N1M0 colorectal adenocarcinoma after a hemicolectomy. Postoperative PET/CT evaluation revealed several abdominal lymph nodes measuring about 1 cm in diameter, with an SUV value of 5.7 and a mass in her uterus consistent with myoma uteri. She was under follow-up care for her myoma uteri for the past seven years. She was started on adjuvant chemotherapy with FOLFOX with close follow-up for these suspicious lymph nodes. Interim PET/CT evaluation after three months revealed no other pathologic finding besides her known mass in the uterus. Her adjuvant chemotherapy was completed. The last PET/CT evaluation in March 2013 revealed multiple

mediastinal and intrabdominal lymph nodes with an SUV of 4.4-6.5 and a mass in the right iliac bone with a diameter of 27.5 mm and an SUV of 14.6.

The case was discussed at the tumor board. This clinical picture suggested a second primary cancer, so an interventional radiologist was requested for a biopsy of the new lesions. The location of the lymph nodes precluded their sampling. So a trucut bone biopsy was performed first which revealed an inflammatory reaction. An abdominal MRI was performed to see the uterine mass better. The lobulated mass was hypointense in the T1 series and hyper-intense in the T2 series and its radio-contrast uptake pattern suggested a sarcoma. In Mai 2014 a total hysterectomy, bilateral salphingo-oophorectomy and abdominal

lymph node sampling were performed. The pathologic examination revealed a low-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma (Desmin, SMA, Pan-CK, S100, CD34, CD117, EMA, ER, PR negativE., CD10 ve Cyclin D1 positive; Ki67 index: %25; no tumor necrosis, mitosis <10/10HPF; T:13 cm).

Because of a lack of estrogen and progesteron positivity in her tumor specimen, hormonal therapy was not done. She experienced severe coughing due to a lung nodule above the diaphragm so she was started on palliative chemotherapy for her metastatic sarcoma consisting of doxorubicine and ifosfamide. She did not respond well to two cycles of chemotherapy.

Discussion

Colorectal carcinoma remains the third most common cancer among adult men and women and is the third most common cause of death from cancer (1). It is well recognized that colorectal cancer does not frequently metastasize to bone. The incidence of bone metastasis in colorectal cancer is reported to be about 10%. The most common sites of metastases are the liver and the lungs. Solitary bone metastases and bone metastasis at presentation are very uncommon (2). Liver metastases precede lung metastases in most cases. A retrospective study evaluated 252 patients regarding the metastasis pattern in colorectal carcinoma. Analysis of metastasis to bone showed that 14 of the 252 individuals (5.5%) had bone lesions and no individuals had metastasis only to bone at the time of diagnosis. In all patients that developed bone metastasis, liver and/or lung metastasis was always present first. One individual presented with bone lesions at the time of diagnosis; however, liver metastasis was also present (3).

Endometrial stromal sarcomas (ESS) are rare malignant tumors of the uterus, and most of the information available in literature is based on small series or case reports (4). Although the main tumor mass is almost always intramyometrial, most ESS involve the endometrium and uterine curettage which may be helpful in preoperative diagnosis(5, 6). However, when the lesion is completely within the myo-metrium, the scrapings may not be helpful. Due to the great similarity between ESS and normal

endometrium, it may be impossible to diagnose it with certainty on curettage fragments, and the definitive diagnosis can be made only on a hysterectomy specimen.

Strong and/or diffuse positivity for CD10 is found in ESS, which is helpful in distinguishing these tumors from histological mimics like cellular leiomyoma, that are generally negative (7). ESS is positive for both estrogen and progesterone receptors in most cases.

As for other sarcomas, surgery is the most effective treatment for ESS. The efficacy of adjuvant therapy is not proven. Survival in patients with undifferentiated endometrial sarcoma (previously called high-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma) appears to be related to the extent of residual disease after initial surgery and would suggest the necessity for aggressive cytoreduction as a main modality of treatment. However, the role of debulking surgery for ESS (formally known as "low-grade ESS") remains unclear (8). Recurrent ESS has been treated with hormone therapy, radiation, surgical re-excision, or a combination of two or more of these modalities (9). There are few case reports where the recurrent ESS was treated with hetoposide, cyclophosphamide, and doxorubicin (10). Even though chemotherapy is a mode of treatment in undifferentiated endometrial sarcoma, data supporting their efficiency in the case of recurrence of ESS are limited. Because of the large variation in pathologic characteristics, combined with a scarcity of patients, there is insufficient information about optimal management.

Conclusion

We presented here the first case of second primary endometrial stromal sarcoma in a patient with colorectal carcinoma. In our case, the differential diagnosis of colon cancer metastasis and second primary cancer was of great importance because the treatment and prognosis is different. The preassumption that metastatic cancers are incurable precludes the work-up for detection of secondary cancers in most cases. This may hinder the proper treatment of patients. That is why the detection of secondary cancers should be strongly encouraged especially in cases with an unexpected metastasis pattern.

References

1. Cancer statistics, 2005. Jemal A(1), Murray T, Ward E, Samuels A, Tiwari RC, Ghafoor A, Feuer EJ, Thun MJ. CA Cancer J Clin 2005;55:10-30.
2. Kose F(1), Sakalli H, Sezer A, Mertsoylu H, Pourbagher A, Reyhan M, Ozyilkhan O. Colon adenocarcinoma and solitary tibia metastasis: rare entity. J Gastrointest Cancer 2008;39:146-8. doi: 10.1007/s12029-009-9055-1.
3. Does colon cancer ever metastasize to bone first? a temporal analysis of colorectal cancer progression. Roth ES(1), Fetzer DT, Barron BJ, Joseph UA, Gayed IW, Wan DQ. BMC Cancer 2009;7:9:274. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-9-274.
4. Tavassoli FA, Devilee P. WHO Classification of Pathology and genetics of tumours of the breast and female genital organs. In: Tavassoli FA, Devilee P, editors. Lyon, France: IARC Press 2003. pp. 233-6.
5. Ganjoei TA, Behtash N, Shariat M, Low AM. Grade endometrial stromal sarcoma of uterine corpus: A clinicopathological and survey study in 14 cases. World J Surg Oncol 2006;4:50.
6. Jin Y, Pan L, Wang X, Dai Z, Huang H, Guo L, et al. Clinical characteristics of endometrial stromal sarcoma from an academic medical hospital in China. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2010;20:1535-9.
7. Chu PG, Arber DA, Weiss LM, Chang KL. Utility of CD10 in distinguishing between endometrial stromal sarcoma and uterine smooth muscle tumors: An immunohistochemical comparison of 34 cases. Mod Pathol 2001;14:465-71.
8. Leath CA, 3rd, Huh WK, Hyde J, Jr, Cohn DE, Resnick KE, Taylor NP, et al. A multi-institutional review of outcomes of endometrial stromal sarcoma. Gynec Oncol 2007;105:630-4.
9. Dupont NC, DiSaia PJ. Recurrent endometrial stromal sarcoma: Treatment with a progestin and gonadotropin releasing hormone agonist. Sarcoma 2010:353679.
10. Lin YC, Kudelka AP, Tresukosol D, Malpica A, Carrasco CH, Lawrence DD, et al. Prolonged stabilization of progressive endometrial stromal sarcoma with prolonged oral etoposide therapy. Gynecol Oncol.